



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/086,338

03/01/2002

John p. Ruckart

010417

4121

36192

7590

01/03/2007

CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

EXAMINER

HASHEM, LISA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

01/03/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/086,338

Applicant(s)

RUCKART, JOHN P.

Examiner

Lisa Hashem

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-15-06.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 6-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 6-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter ‘...at least one of a schedule including at least one time period during which the incoming call is placed on hold, and a list including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold...’ which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Section 0047 in the instant application discloses ‘...a predetermined time period during which the hold function is enabled...’. There is not mention of a schedule including at least one time period.

Section 0048 in the instant application discloses ‘...The user may enable the hold function by, for example, using DTMF codes (e.g. dialing a star (*) code), telephoning an integrated voice response system (IVRS) and enabling the function and defining its parameters, enabling the function and defining its parameters via a computer web interface, or by communicating the times for the hold function to be active by interfacing with a scheduling program such as, for example, Microsoft Outlook...’. The scheduling program that is mentioned in the specification does not mention anything about a schedule including a time period. One of ordinary skill in the art acknowledges that the scheduling program, Microsoft Outlook, is an email application.

There is no mention in the instant application of '...a list including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold...'. Appropriate action is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what the term 'on hold' is defined as in the claims. This term has not been clearly defined in the specification. Examiner interprets this term to mean one of: establishing a call connection on a line, the talk path is established (not necessarily with the calling party), and a calling party is waiting on the line to communicate with a called party. The preamble states that an incoming call is placed on hold prior to being answered by a called party but the body of the claim states that the incoming call is placed on hold if the call corresponds to the one or more parameters.

4. Claims 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what the term 'schedule' is defined as in the claims. This term has not been clearly defined in the specification. Examiner interprets this term to mean a procedure, preference, or plan to perform something.

5. Claim 19 recites the limitation "the telecommunications device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 6-13 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,652,789 by Miner et al, hereinafter Miner.

Regarding claim 6, Miner discloses a method of placing an incoming call to a telecommunications device (col. 20, lines 40-50; col. 29, lines 17-21; col. 30, lines 51-60; col. 32, lines 1-47) from a calling party on hold (e.g. waiting) prior to being answered by a called party, the method comprising:

receiving one or more parameters of a hold function,

wherein the parameters include at least one of a schedule (e.g. preference, procedure) including at least one time period (e.g. an existing call being handled) during which the incoming call is placed on hold (e.g. waiting on the line for the called party when the called party will accept interruptions) (col. 37, lines 38-56), and

a list (e.g. calling party's contact list) including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold (e.g. waiting on the line for the called party) (col. 32, line 65 – col. 33, line 54);

automatically answering the call (e.g. the call is waiting and the server is checking on the status of the called party; the call is not routed to voicemail at this point or disconnected; the talk path is still established) if the call corresponds to the one or more parameters of the hold function and

Art Unit: 2614

placing the call on hold (e.g. not disconnecting the call; stored in queue) (col. 32, lines 54-64; col. 34, lines 20-33; Fig. 24A: 500, 504, 516, 518, 522; col. 37, line 38-67); playing a message to the called party that the call has been placed on hold (col. 34, line 60 – col. 36, line 49; col. 38, lines 1-14; Figs. 26 and 27) and connecting the called party to the calling party when the called party answers the call (col. 36, lines 44-51; Fig. 26: 614, 622; col. 38, lines 27-29; Fig. 27: 640, 644).

Regarding claim 7, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses determining whether the called party has enabled a hold function (col. 32, lines 54-64; col. 33, lines 14-54; col. 34, lines 60-65; col. 37, line 38 – col. 39, line 3).

Regarding claim 8, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses determining whether the called party has pressed a button on the telecommunications device to enable a hold function (col. 37, line 54 – col. 38, line 3).

Regarding claim 9, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses means for alerting the called party of the incoming call (col. 36, lines 44-49; col. 38, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 10, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses connecting the calling party to a voicemail system when the called party does not answer the call within a predetermined time period (col. 38, lines 15-26).

Regarding claim 11, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses playing a message to the calling party includes playing a message that is resident on a services node (e.g. a virtual machine) of a telecommunications network (Figs. 3 and 5) (col. 20, lines 40-50; col. 38, lines 15-26).

Regarding claim 12, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses playing a message to the calling party includes playing a pre-recorded message stored in a memory device resident on the telecommunications device (e.g. a virtual machine) (col. 20, lines 40-50; col. 38, lines 15-26).

Regarding claim 13, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses connecting the call to a voicemail system when the called party presses a button on the telecommunications device (col. 36, lines 47-60).

Regarding claim 21, the method of claim 6, wherein Miner further discloses the receiving one or more parameters of the hold function is performed via a web interface (col. 10, line 51 – col. 11, line 3; col. 11, lines 51-63; col. 16, lines 47-60; col. 17, lines 31-59; col. 19, lines 53-61; col. 33, lines 29-48; col. 37, lines 54-63).

Regarding claim 17, Miner discloses an apparatus (col. 20, lines 40-50; col. 29, lines 17-21; col. 30, lines 51-60; col. 32, lines 1-47), comprising:
means for receiving one or more parameters of a hold function,
wherein said parameters include at least a schedule (e.g. preference, procedure) including at least one time period (e.g. an existing call being handled) during which an incoming call is placed on hold (e.g. waiting on the line for the called party when the called party will accept interruptions) (col. 37, lines 38-56), and
a list (e.g. calling party's contact list) including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold (e.g. waiting on the line for the called party) (col. 32, line 65 – col. 33, line 54);

Art Unit: 2614

means for automatically answering a call placed by a calling party to a called party (e.g. the call is waiting and the server is checking on the status of the called party; the call is not routed to voicemail at this point or disconnected; the talk path is still established),

if the call corresponds to the one or more parameters and placing the call on hold (e.g. not disconnecting the call; stored in queue) (col. 32, lines 54-64; col. 34, lines 20-33; Fig. 24A: 500, 504, 516, 518, 522; col. 37, line 38-67);

means for playing a message to the calling party that the call has been placed on hold (col. 34, line 60 – col. 36, line 49; col. 38, lines 1-14; Figs. 26 and 27); and

means for connecting the called party to the calling party when the called party answers the call (col. 36, lines 44-51; Fig. 26: 614, 622; col. 38, lines 27-29; Fig. 27: 640, 644).

Regarding claim 18, the apparatus of claim 17, wherein Miner further discloses means for determining whether the called party has enabled a hold function (col. 32, lines 54-64; col. 33, lines 14-54; col. 34, lines 60-65; col. 37, line 38 – col. 39, line 3).

Regarding claim 19, the apparatus of claim 17, wherein Miner further discloses means for determining whether the called party has pressed a button on the telecommunications device to enable a hold function (col. 36, lines 47-60).

Regarding claim 20, the apparatus of claim 17, wherein Miner further discloses means for alerting the called party of the incoming call (col. 36, lines 44-49; col. 38, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 22, the apparatus of claim 17, wherein Miner further discloses the means for receiving one or more parameters of the hold function receives the one or more parameters via a web interface (col. 10, line 51 – col. 11, line 3; col. 11, lines 51-63; col. 16, lines 47-60; col. 17, lines 31-59; col. 19, lines 53-61; col. 33, lines 29-48; col. 37, lines 54-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okun in view of Dutta.

Regarding claim 14, Okun discloses a telecommunications system (see Figure 1a; section 0013, lines 1-8), comprising a home location register (Figure 1A, 110) for storing a profile of a user of a telecommunications device (Figure 1A, 126), wherein the profile includes an indication of whether the user is a subscriber to an incoming call hold service implemented by the telecommunications system (e.g. a subscriber profile indicates determining whether a text or voice message is preferred for a calling party in order to send a message to a calling party that is on hold) (section 0014, lines 1-6; section 0036, lines 1-8; section 0039, line 1 – section 0040, line 11; section 0044, lines 1-14; section 0077, lines 1-12); a services node or serving MSC (Figure 1A, 118) for:

determining whether an incoming call placed to the telecommunications device by a calling party should be placed on hold prior to the call being answered by the user of the telecommunications device according to the incoming call hold service; placing the incoming call on hold prior to the call being answered; playing a message to the calling party that the call has been placed on hold (section 0054, line 1 – section 0057, line 13); and connecting the telecommunications device to the calling party if the user of the telecommunications device answers the incoming call (section

Art Unit: 2614

0058, line 1 – section 0063, line 10); and a mobile switching center or originating MSC (Figure 1A, 102) for facilitating communication between the telecommunications device, the services node, and the home location register (section 0054, lines 5-12).

Okun clearly discloses determining whether an incoming call should be placed on hold prior to being answered. However, Okun does not disclose the determining based on at least one of a schedule including at least one time period during which the incoming call is placed on hold and a list including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold.

Dutta discloses a telecommunications system comprising:
a services node or Bluetooth server (col. 5, line 1 – col. 6, line 13; Figs. 5 and 6) for:
determining whether an incoming call placed to the telecommunications device by a calling party should be placed on hold prior to the call being answered by the user of the telecommunications device according to the incoming call hold service,
the determining based on at least one of a schedule including at least one time period during which the incoming call is placed on hold (col. 6, lines 2-13), and
a list including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold;
placing the incoming call on hold prior to the call being answered (col. 5, lines 7-14; col. 5, lines 60-67);
playing a message to the calling party that the call has been placed on hold; and
connecting the telecommunications device to the calling party if the user of the telecommunications device answers the incoming call (col. 5, line 60 - col. 6, line 2).

Art Unit: 2614

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the telecommunication system of Okun to include the determining based on at least one of a schedule including at least one time period during which the incoming call is placed on hold and a list including at least one potential calling party from whom incoming calls are placed on hold as taught by Dutta. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been lead to make such a modification in a service node to include parameters under which the incoming hold service will be automatically implemented which are predefined. The parameters including a schedule of time periods and a list of potential calling parties.

Regarding claim 15, the system of claim 14 mentioned above, wherein Okun further discloses the services node includes an enunciator or IVR (section 0057, lines 5-9; section 0061, lines 10-14).

Regarding claim 16, the system of claim 15 mentioned above, wherein Okun further discloses the enunciator is for playing a message to a calling party when a call is placed on hold (section 0057, lines 5-9; section 0061, line 10 – section 0062, line 10).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2614

Or call:

(571) 272-2600 (for customer service assistance)

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Hashem whose telephone number is (571) 272-7542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lh
December 20, 2006

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
